NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

| RE: MDR Tracking #: M2-03-1373-01 |
|--|
| has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO)' IRO Certificate Number is 5348. Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC) Rule §133.308 allows for a claimant or provider to request an independent review of a Carrier's adverse medical necessity determination. TWCC assigned the above-reference case to for independent review in accordance with this Rule. |
| has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine whether or not the adverse determination was appropriate. Relevant medical records, documentation provided by the parties referenced above and other documentation and written information submitted regarding this appeal was reviewed during the performance of this independent review. |
| This case was reviewed by a practicing chiropractor on the external review panel. The chiropractor reviewer signed a statement certifying that no known conflicts of interest exist between this chiropractor and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed this case for a determination prior to the referral to for independent review. In addition, the chiropractor reviewer certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party in this case. |
| Clinical History |
| This case concerns a male who sustained a work related injury on The patient reported that while at work he injured his back. The patient has been treated under chiropractic care since the date of injury and has competed 4 weeks of work hardening. The patient underwent an MRI on 11/25/02 that demonstrated degenerative changes. The patient is not considered a surgical candidate. The patient continues to complain of pain after 4 weeks of work hardening. |
| Requested Services |
| Work Hardening program times 2 additional weeks. |
| <u>Decision</u> |
| The Carrier's denial of authorization for the requested services is upheld. |
| Rationale/Basis for Decision |
| The chiropractor reviewer noted that this case concerns a male who sustained a work related injury to his back on The chiropractor reviewer also noted that patient has been treated under chiropractic care since the date of injury and has competed 4 weeks of work hardening. The chiropractor reviewer further noted that the patient is not considered a surgical candidate. The chiropractor reviewer explained that there is no documentation to support the need of a work hardening program at this time. The chiropractor reviewer also explained that the patient has been treated with an exercise program only. The chiropractor |

reviewer further explained that because the patient has been treated with exercise only, a work

hardening program is not indicated for treatment of this patient's condition. Therefore, the ____ chiropractor consultant concluded that the requested work hardening program times 2 additional weeks, is not medically necessary to treat this patient's condition at this time.

This decision is deemed to be a TWCC Decision and Order.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 (ten) days of your receipt of this decision. (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed. (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
P.O. Box 40669
Austin, TX 78704-0012

A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute. (Commission Rule 133.308(t)(2)).

Sincerely,

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 14th day of July 2003.